

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW HAMPSHIRE**

DANIEL RILEY,

Petitioner

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondent.

1:12-CV-00047-GZS

1:07-CR-00189-GZS

**ORDER AFFIRMING THE
RECOMMENDED DECISION OF THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

The United States Magistrate Judge filed with the Court on January 28, 2013, her Recommended Decision (ECF No. 37). Petitioner filed his Objection to the Recommended Decision (ECF No. 39) on February 14, 2013.

I have reviewed and considered the Magistrate Judge's Recommended Decision, together with the entire record; I have made a de novo determination of all matters adjudicated by the Magistrate Judge's Recommended Decision; and I concur with the recommendations of the United States Magistrate Judge for the reasons set forth in her Recommended Decision, and determine that no further proceeding is necessary.

1. It is therefore **ORDERED** that the Recommended Decision of the Magistrate Judge is hereby **AFFIRMED**.
2. It is hereby **ORDERED** that Petitioner's 28 U.S.C. § 2255 Motion (ECF No. 1) is **DENIED WITH PREJUDICE**, and his Petition is **DISMISSED**.

3. It is hereby **ORDERED** that no certificate of appealability shall issue in the event Petitioner files a notice of appeal because there is no substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c).

/s/George Z. Singal
U.S. District Judge

Dated this 25th day of February, 2013.